Transparent exopolymer particle (TEP) distribution and in situ prokaryotic generation across the deep Mediterranean Sea and nearby North East Atlantic Ocean
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) play a key role in ocean carbon export and structuring microbial habitats, but information on their distribution across different ocean basins and depths is scarce, particularly in the dark ocean. We measured TEP vertical distribution from the surface to bathypelagic waters in an east-to-west transect across the Mediterranean Sea (MedSea) and the adjacent North East Atlantic Ocean (NEA), and explored their physical and biological drivers. TEP ranged from 0.6 to 81.7 µg XG eq L\textsuperscript{−1}, with the highest values in epipelagic waters above the deep chlorophyll maximum, and in areas near the Gibraltar and Sicily Straits. TEP were significantly related to particulate organic carbon (POC) in all basins and depth layers (epipelagic vs. deep), but the contribution of TEP to POC was higher in the NEA (85%, 79% and 67% in epipelagic, mesopelagic and bathypelagic waters, respectively) than in the MedSea (from 53% to 62% in epipelagic waters, and from 45% to 48% in mesopelagic and bathypelagic waters), coinciding with higher carbon to nitrogen particulate organic matter ratios in the NEA. The TEP connectivity between epipelagic waters and mesopelagic waters was less straightforward than between mesopelagic waters and bathypelagic waters, with a 23% and 55% of the variance in the relationship between layers explained respectively. Prokaryotes were found to be a likely net source of TEP as inferred by the significant direct relationship observed between prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance and TEP. This assumption was confirmed using experimental incubations, where prokaryotes produced TEP in concentrations ranging from 0.7 (Western Mediterranean, bathypelagic) to 232 (Western Mediterranean, mesopelagic) µg XG eq. L\textsuperscript{−1} day\textsuperscript{−1}.

\section*{1. Introduction}

Transparent Exopolymer particles (TEP) are polysaccharide-rich microgels (from ∼0.4 to > 200 µm) with an ubiquitous distribution in the ocean, where they play a crucial role in transferring carbon from the dissolved to the particulate pool (Aldredge et al., 1993). On the one hand, TEP are sticky (Engel, 2000; Passow and Aldredge, 1995a), and can thus promote particle aggregation leading to their downward export when they are ballasted (Passow, 2002b; Wurl et al., 2011), enhancing the biological carbon pump. On the other hand, these particles are porous and of low density and, consequently, may reduce the sinking rates of aggregates enriched in TEP. They can even float or ascend through the water column (Azetsu-Scott and Passow, 2004; Mari et al., 2017), countering the downward export of particulate organic matter and affecting air-sea gas exchange after their accumulation in the surface microlayer (Wurl et al., 2011).

TEP net accumulation across marine environments is determined by the quality and quantity of the polymers released by microorganisms...
(Gogou and Repeta, 2010), their degradation pathways (Taylor et al., 2014) and the environmental conditions promoting abiotic self-assembly of these polymers (Allredge et al., 1993; Berman-Frank et al., 2016; Mari et al., 2005; Mopper et al., 1995). TEP were first described in cultures and natural proliferations of phytoplankton (Allredge et al., 1993). Thenceforth, phytoplankton have been considered to be the main TEP source in the euphotic layer, also because high TEP concentrations have been regularly observed associated with phytoplankton blooms (Hong et al., 1997; Mari and Kiørboe, 1996) and regions where algal biomass increased due to favourable growth conditions (Prieto et al., 2006). In contrast to highest TEP absolute values, relatively elevated TEP concentrations in relation to chlorophyll or primary production values may also be expected in oligotrophic areas since a high TEP formation per unit cell occurs under nutrient-depleted conditions and as a consequence of phytoplankton carbon overflow (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011).

In contrast to the unequivocal role of phytoplankton as a TEP source; the role of heterotrophic prokaryotes on TEP dynamics is less straightforward. Prokaryotes may act both as TEP sinks via colonization and degradation of the particles (Mari and Kiørboe, 1996; Taylor et al., 2014) or as sources (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Passow, 2002a), and interact with phytoplankton promoting TEP production (Gärdes et al., 2011; Gärdes et al., 2012). Heterotrophic prokaryotes release extra-cellular polymers during their growth, by producing mucous capsules (Decho, 1990), or modifying the stickiness of the polymers (Rochelle-Newall et al., 2010; Van Oostende et al., 2013), contributing to their assembly into larger sized particles.

While all published studies to date have looked at TEP-prokaryote interactions in the euphotic layer or in laboratory experiments, there is no information on the role of heterotrophic prokaryotes on TEP formation in the dark ocean. In contrast to surface waters, the deep waters are enriched in inorganic nutrients and microbial metabolism is highly dependent on the downward flux of organic carbon from surface waters (Aristegui et al., 2009). Mes- and bathypelagic prokaryotes mostly sit on particles and are apparently well adapted to a particle-attached lifestyle (Baltar et al., 2009; Herndl and Reinthaler, 2013). During sedimentation the particles evolve and it is well known that changes in element stoichiometry (Engel et al., 2015; Radic et al., 2005) or the nature of the available organic matter (Koch et al., 2014; Ogawa et al., 2001) affect the prokaryotic production of TEP. Therefore, quantification of the net rates of TEP generation by prokaryotes in the deep ocean will help to better understand their contribution to the global carbon cycle and, principally, to particulate organic carbon (POC) flux attenuation.

The Mediterranean Sea is an oligotrophic ecosystem characterized by surface phosphorus limitation, particularly accentuated in the Levantine basin (Krom et al., 1991; Thingstad et al., 2005). This semi-enclosed sea is connected to the Northeast Atlantic Ocean through the highly dynamic and productive region of the Strait of Gibraltar, characterized by a two-layer system with an upper Atlantic layer inflowing into the Mediterranean Sea, and Mediterranean water outflowing at depth (Gascard and Richez, 1985; Lacombe and Richez, 1982). Frequent events of large mucus aggregates, preceded by periods with elevated TEP levels, have been observed in some regions of the Mediterranean Sea (i.e. coastal Adriatic and Aegean Seas, Radic et al., 2005; Danovaro et al., 2009). However, these local studies might not be representative of the entire basin and only a handful of studies have documented the variability of TEP concentrations in surface (Garcia et al., 2002; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010, 2017) and mesopelagic waters (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Prieto et al., 2006; Weinbauer et al., 2013), showing variable TEP accumulations both spatially and with depth.

Here we present a detailed and comprehensive vertical distribution of TEP across the Mediterranean Sea, from the eastern to the western basins, including the nearby Northeast Atlantic Ocean. We discuss TEP distribution in relation with water masses circulation and mixing, from the surface down to the dark sea, including for the first time the Mediterranean Sea bathypelagic waters. We also explore the different potential physical and biological factors that might drive TEP concentrations in the deep Mediterranean Sea, and quantify experimentally their production by heterotrophic prokaryotes.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site and sampling strategy

Sampling was carried out during the HOTMIX 2014 cruise on board the R/V Sarmiento de Gamboa, from 29th April to 28th May 2014. A total of 29 stations were sampled along a Mediterranean Sea section from East to West, also extending to the adjacent subtropical Northeast Atlantic Ocean (NEA) reaching the Canary Islands (Fig. 1). Detailed information about the distributions of salinity, potential temperature and chlorophyll a concentrations as well as the water masses intercepted during the cruise is provided in Martínez-Pérez et al. (2017). Samples were collected using a rosette sampler holding 24 Niskin bottles (12 L each), coupled to a Seabird SBE 9–11 plus conductivity-temperature–pressure probe (CTD), complemented with a SBE43 oxygen sensor and a SeaTech fluorometer. Up to 13 depths were sampled covering the entire water column, from 3 m down to 10 m above
the seafloor.

Samples from the epipelagic layer (surface water; down to 200 m) were systematically collected at four depths: 3 m, the depth receiving 20% of the surface photosynthetically active radiation, the depth of the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM), and between 10 m and 45 m below the DCM. The depth of the DCM was determined after visual inspection of the vertical profiles of chlorophyll a (Chl a) fluorescence.

Sampling depths in the meso- and bathypelagic layers were decided on the basis of the full-depth potential temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen profiles to ensure that all the water masses of the Mediterranean Sea and their respective mixing zones were sampled. More specifically, in the mesopelagic layer of the Eastern Mediterranean (EM) we focused our sampling efforts on the intermediate waters, including the Levantine Intermediate Water (LIW) and the Cretan Intermediate Water (CIW), which occupy the water column from 200 to 400 m depth. The core of the LIW can be easily characterised by its absolute salinity maximum. In the Western Mediterranean (WM), modified LIW and Winter Intermediate water (WIW) occupy the water column from 200 to 600 m depth. The modified LIW can be characterised not only by its salinity maximum but also by its absolute oxygen minimum. Finally, the bathypelagic layer is occupied by Eastern (EMDW) and Western (WMDW) Mediterranean Deep waters. Both the EMDW and WMDW are in fact composites of different varieties formed at different sites and/or under different conditions. In the Eastern Mediterranean, EMDW can be of Adriatic or Aegean origin and the waters of Adriatic origin form with different salinity and temperature depending on the climate conditions at the time of formation. The same is applicable to the WMDW where different varieties can be found depending on the proportions of Atlantic Water (AM) and/or LIW at the time of WMDW formation in the Gulf of Lions.

In the North-Eastern Atlantic NE Ocean, we focused on three water masses and their mixing horizons: North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) between 200 and 750 m depth, Mediterranean Water (MW) from 750 to 1500 m depth, and North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) from > 1500 m. For a detailed description of the water masses during the cruise, see Català et al. (2018) (Supplementary Fig. 1) for the Mediterranean water masses and Català et al. (2015) for the NEA water masses.

2.2. Analytical procedures

2.2.1. TEP

Transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) concentrations were measured using the colorimetric alcin blue method (Passow and Allardedge, 1995b). Duplicate or triplicate samples (0.4−2 L) were filtered through 0.4 µm polycarbonate filters (25 mm diameter, Poretics) and the TEP retained on the filters were stained with 0.5 mL of a 0.02% solution of alcin blue (Sigma) in 0.06% acetic acid (pH 2.5). The stained filters were frozen at −80 °C until analysis in the laboratory (for less than 1 month). The stained filters were thawed at −20 °C until analysis in the laboratory (for less than 1 month). The stained filters were thawed at −80 °C until analysis in the laboratory (for less than 1 month). The stained filters were thawed at −20 °C until analysis in the laboratory (for less than 1 month).

2.2.2. Particulate organic carbon (POC)

Samples for Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) were determined after filtering 2−4 L of the water samples through combusted (450 °C for 12 h) Whatman GF/F filters (25 mm diameter and 0.7 µm nominal pore size). These filters were stored frozen (−20 °C) until processed. In the laboratory, the filters were thawed and dried overnight at 65 °C in a desiccator under HCl fumes to remove carbonates and, then, dried overnight in a desiccator with silica gel. The POC and PON analyses were performed by high-temperature (900 °C) combustion in an elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer 2400 CHN).

2.2.3. Dissolved oxygen (O2), inorganic nitrogen (DIN) and phosphorus (DIP)

Samples for O2 determination were collected in flared neck glass calibrated flasks and measured using a Winkler potentiometric method adapted from Langdon (2010). Samples for inorganic nutrient analysis were collected in 50 mL polyethylene bottles and kept in the dark at 4 °C until analysis on board. Nitrate, phosphate and silicate concentrations were determined using a Skalar segmented flow analyzer SAN + + following the colorimetric methods of Grasshoff et al. (1999).

2.2.4. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC)

DOC samples were filtered through pre-combusted (450 °C for 12 h) Whatman GF/F filters in an all-glass filtration system under positive pressure of high purity N2 and collected into pre-combusted glass ampoules, acidified with phosphoric acid (final pH < 2), sealed and stored at 4 °C until analysis. Samples below 150 m were not filtered. These samples were analyzed by high-temperature catalytic oxidation on a Shimadzu TOC-V total organic carbon analyzer. Potassium hydrogen phthalate (99.95−100.05%, p.a., Merck) was used to calibrate the system daily. The precision of the equipment was ± 1 µmol L−1. The accuracy was checked daily with the DOC reference materials provided by D. A. Hansell (University of Miami, USA).

2.2.5. Chlorophyll a (Chl a) concentration

For Chl-a, 500 mL of sea water were sampled and filtered through 25 mm Whatman GF/F filters under low vacuum pressure. The filters were kept frozen at −20 °C until analysis. Before chlorophyll a determination, pigments were extracted using 10 mL of 90% acetone at 4 °C in the dark for 24 h. Extracts were then measured fluorometrically, before and after acidification, by means of a Turner Designs bench fluorometer 10-AU, previously calibrated with pure chlorophyll a (Sigma Chemical), following Holm-Hansen et al. (1965).

2.2.6. Picophytoplankton abundance

Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus type cyanobacteria and small photosynthetic eukaryotic cells (picoeukaryotes) were enumerated with a FACScalibur (Becton and Dickinson) flow cytometer. Samples of about 1 mL were analyzed in fresh material 30−60 min after retrieval. Phytoplankton groups were identified by their signatures in a plot of side scatter (SSC) versus red (FL3) and orange (FL2) fluorescence. Samples were run at 60 mL min−1. A suspension of yellow–green 1 µm latex beads (∼105 beads mL−1) was added as an internal standard (Polysciences, Inc.). Pigmented nanoeukaryotes (2−20 µm) were counted on fresh samples with a Cytobuoy counter (Dubbelaar and Gerritzen, 2000), provided with flow-imaging. Samples (about 3 mL) were analyzed in vivo for 7 min at a flow rate of 300 µL min−1.

2.2.7. Prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA)

PHA was measured by flow cytometry (Gasol and del Giorgio, 2000). Aliquots of 1.5 mL were fixed with 2% of paraformaldehyde, deep-frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80 °C until analysis, a few hours after collection. The samples were stained with SYBR Green I and run through a FACScalibur cytometer fitted with a laser emitting at 488 nm. A suspension of yellow-green 1 µm latex beads was added as an internal standard (Polyscience Inc). The flow rate was determined volumetrically after every 10 samples.
2.2.8. Prokaryotic heterotrophic production (PHP)

PHP rates were estimated from $^{3}H$-Leucine (specific activities = $112 \text{Ci mmol}^{-1}$) incorporation into proteins (Kirchman et al., 1993) and using the microcentrifugation protocol proposed by Smith and Azam (1992). Three replicates (1.2 mL) and two tri-chloroacetic acid (TCA)-killed blanks in microcentrifuge tubes were added L$^{-1}$[4, 5-$^{3}H$] leucine at 20 nM. Samples and blanks were incubated for (3–15) h at in situ temperatures. Incubations were stopped by adding 50% TCA. Subsequently, the samples were centrifuged twice (10 min. and 14,000 r.p.m.) and rinsed with 5% TCA. Scintillation cocktail (1 mL Optisafe HiSafe) was added, and after 24 h, the samples were counted in a liquid scintillation counter. Leucine incorporation rates (pmol Leu L$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) were converted into carbon (µg C L$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$) by using a theoretical factor of 1.55 kg C mol Leu$^{-1}$ (Simon and Azam, 1989), assuming negligible isotope dilution.

2.2.9. Optimum multiparameter (OMP) water mass analysis

Catalá et al. (2018) developed an OMP water mass analysis that allowed computing the contribution of the 19 deep water types identified during the HOTMIX 2014 cruise to every water sample (Supplementary Fig. 1). The process included clustering the samples into mixing groups and creating an over-determined system of linear mixing equations for volume, potential temperature, salinity, NO$_{3}$ and silicate that was solved in a non-negative least-squares sense for each mixing group. In the Western Mediterranean, the shallowest mesopelagic water type considered was the Atlantic Water (AW) that enters the Mediterranean Sea across the Strait of Gibraltar. Below the AW the Winter Intermediate Water (WIW), formed in the Gulf of Lions and the Levantine basin were the main water masses observed. The intermediate layer was occupied by five varieties of Western Mediterranean Deep Water (WMDW), formed in the Gulf of Lions. In the shallow Eastern Mediterranean, Modified Atlantic Water (MAW) in the Strait of Sicily and Levantine Surface Water (LSW) in the Levantine basin were the main water masses observed. The intermediate layer was occupied by the Levantine (LIW) and Cretan (CIW) intermediate waters. In the bathypelagic layer, five varieties of the Eastern Mediterranean Deep Water (EMDW) could be observed, one of Aegean origin (EMT) and four of Adriatic origin (Supplementary Fig. 1).

2.2.10. Estimation of water mass archetype concentrations

Once the water mass proportions were calculated (see Section 2.2.9), water-mass weighted average values of any variable N for each water mass (N$_{i}$), hereinafter “archetype values”, were calculated as:

$$N_{i} = \frac{\sum x_{ij} N_{j}}{\sum x_{ij}}$$

where $x_{ij}$ is the proportion of water mass i in sample j and N$_{j}$ is the value of variable N in sample j. N$_{i}$ is also called archetype value of N in water mass i.

2.3. Prokaryotic TEP generation experiments

To quantify TEP formation by prokaryotes in the Mediterranean Sea, we performed a set of re-growth culture experiments using water from the well-contrasted Eastern and Western Mediterranean basins at different depths in a total of four incubations, two located in the Eastern basin and two located in the Western basin (Fig. 1). Those using EIW were carried out in October 2013 during a previous test cruise between Barcelona and the island of Majorca. Water was incubated using triplicate 2 L Nalgene bottles, for 6 days, under in situ temperature conditions and in the dark. All used material was acid-washed and rinsed with ultrapure water prior to its use. The samples were pre-filtered through 1 µm filters (using 0.1 N HCl pre-washed Preflow capsule filters, Pall Corporation), to remove large particles and grazers, and were used as inoculum of natural microbial populations. The water samples were subsequently filtered by 0.2 µm (using sterile Whatman Polycap cartridge filters). These fractions were mixed, and homogenized, with 75% of 0.2 µm filtered seawater + 25% of microbial inocula. Prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA) and production (PHP) were monitored during the course of the experiments (6 days) while the concentrations of TEP were determined at the onset and the end of the experiments.

2.4. Statistical analyses

We used non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests to compare differences in TEP and other variables among ocean basins. Depth-averaged data were calculated using the conventional trapezoid method. Linear regression analyses were used to investigate the decreases in TEP and POC concentration with depth, and reduced major axis (RMA) regressions were used to explore the environmental drivers of TEP variability in meso- and bathypelagic water masses, and the relationship between TEP increases and PHA and PHP increases along the incubations. All variables were log$_{10}$ transformed prior to analyses to facilitate comparison between slopes. All these analyses were conducted using the ImoDel2 package in the R software (Legendre, 2014).

3. Results

3.1. Environmental conditions

Sea surface temperature ranged from 16.3 °C at station 17 (near the island of Corsica, Western Mediterranean basin) to 19.3 °C at station 28 in the North East Atlantic, and all visited stations were thermally stratified (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Deep waters were increasingly warmer from West to East: waters > 1000 m had on average 6.7 ± 4.4 °C in the North East Atlantic basin, 13.2 ± 0.6 °C in the Western Mediterranean basin, and 14.0 ± 0.9 °C in the Eastern Mediterranean basin (Supplementary Fig. 2A). Salinity increased from West to East in all depth layers. A layer of high salinity (> 39), indicative of the presence of LIW, was located in the Eastern Mediterranean basin from the surface to 400 m at station 1 (the easternmost station) and became deeper (between 100 and 600 m) near the Sicily Strait, becoming mixed with other water masses in the Western Mediterranean basin (Supplementary Fig. 2B). Salinity in the bathypelagic layer was on average 35.4 ± 0.45 at the North Eastern Atlantic, 38.5 ± 0.17 at the Eastern Mediterranean basin and 38.8 ± 0.45 at the Eastern Mediterranean basin (Supplementary Fig. 2B).

The DCM was located deeper in the Eastern Mediterranean (up to 130 m) than in the Western Mediterranean (50–77 m) and North Eastern Atlantic basin (60–86 m), according to the West to East increase in oligotrophy (Fig. 2). The Chl a concentration in these maxima ranged from 0.13 (station 1, Eastern basin) to 0.92 µg L$^{-1}$ (Station 23, Alboran Sea, Western Mediterranean basin). Particulate organic carbon (POC) concentrations ranged from 0.1 to 8.6 µmol L$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Table 1) and significantly decreased with depth (log–log regression, $r^2 = 0.62$, p-value < 0.001; supplementary Fig. 3). These decreases in POC; described with the slopes of the log–log regressions between depth and POC, were −0.33 (± 0.02) in the Eastern Mediterranean, −0.35 (± 0.02) in the Western Mediterranean and −0.31 (± 0.02) in the Northeastern Atlantic. The C:N ratios of particulate organic matter
were significantly higher (ANOVA, p < 0.005) in the Atlantic Ocean than in both Mediterranean basins, particularly in the bathypelagic layer (supplementary Table 1).

3.2. TEP geographical distribution

TEP concentrations in the epipelagic layer increased from East to West (Table 1, Fig. 2) and showed significant differences (Mann-Whitney U test) between all basins in the epipelagic layer (p < 0.01). In the meso- and bathypelagic layers, although TEP also increased from East to West (Table 1), the values were significantly higher in the North Atlantic Ocean (p < 0.05). However, although more TEP were observed in the Western than in the Eastern Mediterranean basins (Table 1), the differences were not significant. The highest TEP values were found at stations 22, 23 and 25, close to the Strait of Gibraltar in the upper 50 m (63.0 ± 7.0 µg XG eq L⁻¹), and the lowest TEP values (< 2 µg XG eq L⁻¹) were observed in the easternmost stations (station 1 between 500 and 1500 m, Fig. 2). Significant and positive relationships were found between depth-averaged TEP concentrations in the mesopelagic and in the epipelagic layers (Fig. 3A; slope = 0.78 ± 0.13, r² = 0.23, p < 0.01, n = 29) and between depth-averaged TEP in the bathypelagic with respect to that in the mesopelagic layer (Fig. 3B; slope = 0.80 ± 0.11, r² = 0.55, p < 0.001, n = 26), indicating a connection between layers. The explained variance for the mesopelagic-epipelagic relationship was lower than for the bathypelagic-mesopelagic relationship, suggesting that between the epipelagic and mesopelagic layers other pathways that release or remove TEP are affecting the distributions.

The TEP depth-profiles showed consistently higher concentrations in the epipelagic layer than in deeper waters (Fig. 4). Within the

![Fig. 2. Distribution of transparent exopolymeric particles (TEP) during the HOTMIX 2014 cruise across the Mediterranean Sea and the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. White dashed lines indicate the approximate boundaries between ocean basins. Black dots correspond to the sampled stations and depths. The continuous white line in the upper panel indicates the depth of the deep chlorophyll maximum.](image)

| Table 1 | Average (± Standard Error) and ranges (in brackets) of TEP concentrations (µg XG eq L⁻¹) and the fraction of TEP as particulate organic carbon pool (%POC) for each depth layer (epi-, meso and bathypelagic waters) in the different ocean basins. We used a constant conversion factor of 0.75 µgC µgXG eq⁻¹ taken from the literature (Engel and Passow, 2001). |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Basin | Variable | Epipelagic | Mesopelagic | Bathypelagic |
| Eastern Med | TEP | 25.1 ± 0.25 (5.3-54.6) | 5.7 ± 0.05 (1.2-12.5) | 3.8 ± 0.03 (1.6-9.1) |
| Western Med | 34.8 ± 0.34 (11.2-76.5) | 8.6 ± 0.16 (1.5-34.7) | 5.9 ± 0.08 (0.6-11.2) |
| NE Atlantic | 40.2 ± 0.79 (14.0-81.7) | 11.4 ± 0.28 (6.0-21.6) | 8.2 ± 0.10 (3.9-15.9) |
| Eastern Med | %POC | 62.0 ± 0.5 (14.8-144.8) | 47.2 ± 0.6 (8.6-157.9) | 47.5 ± 0.8 (8.6-256.4) |
| Western Med | 53.1 ± 0.5 (19.5-147.1) | 46.9 ± 0.8 (12.9-197.6) | 44.7 ± 0.8 (3.3-137.7) |
| NE Atlantic | 85.2 ± 1.6 (27.2-139.2) | 78.7 ± 3.0 (33.5-182.8) | 66.7 ± 1.2 (22.1-169.1) |
epipelagic waters, TEP peaks were always shallower than the deep chlorophyll maxima, and were located at the surface in 13 out of 29 stations (located in the Levantine basin, the Ionian sea and the Gibraltar and Sicily straits) and between 40 and 50 m in 15 out of 29 stations (mainly in the Western Mediterranean and Eastern North Atlantic Ocean). TEP concentrations in the upper 200 m were maxima and ranged from 5.3 to 81.7 µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\), with a median value of 30.9 µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\) (n = 121). By contrast, TEP concentrations in deep waters (≥200 m down to bottom depth) showed very constant values, with an average of 6.64 ± 0.02 µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\) (n = 221; Fig. 4). Considering all data, the decline of TEP with depth could be described by the log-log regression Log\(_{10}\) TEP (µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\)) = −0.40 (± 0.03) Log\(_{10}\) depth (m) + 1.97 (± 0.09) (n = 342, r\(^2\) = 0.60, p-value < 0.001). The slopes of the regression lines were different between the two Mediterranean basins and the adjacent Atlantic Ocean: The Atlantic slope (−0.33 ± 0.03) was significantly lower than those of the Mediterranean basins (−0.42 ± 0.02 and −0.40 ± 0.03 in the Eastern and Western Mediterranean respectively).

The TEP contribution to POC (expressed as a percentage) ranged from 3.3% to >100% and was higher in surface waters (up to 200 m depth) than in the meso- and bathypelagic waters (Table 1). This percentage was particularly high at the surface (3 m) and in subsurface waters (between 3 m and the DCM). Among basins, %POC values were consistently higher in the Northeast Atlantic than in the Mediterranean Sea (Table 1). However, no marked differences in these % were observed between meso- and bathypelagic waters (Table 1).

### 3.3. Environmental drivers of TEP distribution in the epipelagic and in the deep Mediterranean Sea

To test which were the main variables driving TEP distribution in the epipelagic and in the meso- and bathypelagic Mediterranean Sea, we used RMA regression analyses between TEP and a complex suite of environmental variables. TEP were not significantly related to Chl \(a\) concentration. However, we observed significant positive correlation between TEP and \(O_2\) concentration, and between TEP and specific groups of phytoplankton (Synechococcus, pico- and nanophytoplankton, Table 2) and prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA) and production (PHP). Also negative relationships between dissolved inorganic nutrients and TEP were observed (Table 2). None of the correlations was particularly strong.

In the meso- and bathypelagic layers, RMA regressions showed significant relationships between the archetype TEP values in the water masses intercepted during the cruise (supplementary Fig. 1) and archetype values of log-transformed temperature, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), and prokaryote abundance (PHA) and production (PHP, Table 3). In addition, the regression equations between archetypal temperature and TEP (Fig. 5A) and between archetypal DOC and TEP (Fig. 5B) were significantly (p < 0.01) different between the Eastern and Western basins (Table 3). In contrast, Archetypal TEP were also significantly and positively related to archetypal POC (with \(r^2 = 0.97\), Fig. 5C) and archetypal prokaryotic abundance (PHA, \(r^2 = 0.94\), Fig. 5D) and archetypal production (PHP, \(r^2 = 0.76\), Table 3). In these analyses, the intercepts and slopes of the regression equations were similar among basins (Table 3). Comparing between layers, the POC-TEP relationships showed similar slopes in the epipelagic and deep layers (Table 2, Table 3), while the in PHA-TEP relationships the slope was significantly lower in the deep than in the epipelagic layer (Table 2, Table 3, Fig. 6).
Table 2
Results of log-log RMA regression analysis between temperature (Temp), salinity (Sal), dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP) and nitrogen (DIN), particulate organic carbon (POC), abundances of different phytoplankton groups, dissolved oxygen concentration (O₂) prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA) and production (PHP) as independent variables and TEP (independent variable) in epipelagic waters along the HOTMIX 2014 cruise. \( r^2 = \) explained variance; \( p = \) level of significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dep. Var</th>
<th>Independent Var.</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEP</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>−3.88 ± 2.89</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sal</td>
<td>50.25 ± 10.14</td>
<td>−12.91 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIP</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.27</td>
<td>−0.31 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DIN</td>
<td>2.93 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−0.20 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>POC</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.19</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chl a</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prochlorococcus</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Synechococcus</td>
<td>−4.01 ± 1.97</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Picophytoplankton</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nanophytoplankton</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.64</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cryptophytes</td>
<td>−60.59 ± 9.42</td>
<td>11.76 ± 1.73</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>−12.82 ± 2.50</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>4.46 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. Prokaryotic TEP generation in experimental incubations

Prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA) increased from 2 (Experiment with WMDW) to 85-fold (EIW) during the incubations (Table 4). Prokaryotic heterotrophic production (PHP) increased over time in all incubations and reached the plateau after three days, with time- integrated PHP values of between 8.71 and 14.14 µg C L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\) for all the experiments (Table 4). TEP concentrations increased in all incubations, with production rates (ΔTEP) ranging from 0.7 (WMDW experiment) to 232.2 (EIW experiment) µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\). Table 4. The TEP production rates (ΔTEP) were significantly and positively related to the increases in prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance ΔPHA (\( r^2 = 0.99, \) p-value < 0.007, \( n = 4 \)). The slope of the log-log regression equation between PHA and TEP observed in the experiments (1.25 ± 0.09) was similar to that observed in situ in epipelagic waters (1.24 ± 0.19), but significantly higher than the one observed in deep waters (0.62 ± 0.04) (Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

We present here the first basin-wide and full-depth dataset of TEP concentrations in the open Mediterranean Sea. We observed consistent vertical profiles along the Eastern-Western transect across the Mediterranean Sea and adjacent North East Atlantic Ocean, with higher TEP concentrations in the upper water column, being maximum above the DCM depth, and lower and more uniform from 200 m down to the bottom (Figs. 2 and 3). Stations close to the Straits of Gibraltar and Sicily exhibited the highest TEP concentrations through the water column (68.4 and 69.8 µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\)) and the Strait of Gibraltar (Prieto et al., 2006). These previous studies and our observations thus establish that this vertical pattern, with higher TEP concentrations in the epipelagic layer decreasing with depth, is very common at least during seasonal stratification, even at the beginning of the stratified season, when this study was carried out.

In deep waters, TEP concentrations were usually below 10 µg XG eq L\(^{-1}\). There are only a handful of studies reporting TEP concentrations in the deep (> 200 m depth) Mediterranean Sea (Table 5): in the mesopelagic waters of the Strait of Gibraltar (Prieto et al., 2006), the Eastern Mediterranean (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011) and the Northwest Mediterranean (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2017; Weinbauer et al., 2013). Only Bar-Zeev et al. (2011) observed higher (up to 80 times higher) values in the Eastern basin than the TEP range obtained in this study. The published information on TEP concentrations in other deep oceans is also scarce. Our concentrations are within the ranges of those published from the Pacific and Arctic Oceans by Wurl et al. (2011) but lower than those published by Yamada et al. (2017) from the Pacific Ocean and by Cisternas-Novoa et al. (2015) from the Sargasso Sea.

The TEP profiles in the surface waters of our study presented maximum values at depths located between the surface and the DCM. Previous studies in open waters have reported similar vertical profiles during the stratification period (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Kodama et al., 2014; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2017; Prieto et al., 2006; Wurl et al., 2011). These previous studies and our observations thus establish that this vertical pattern, with higher TEP concentrations in the epipelagic layer decreasing with depth, is very common at least during seasonal stratification, even at the beginning of the stratified season, when this study was carried out.

The significant relationships found between the depth-integrated TEP values in the epipelagic and the meso- and bathypelagic waters (Fig. 4) suggest that the downward flux of particulate carbon, via TEP, into the deep waters must be important. Particularly robust were the relationships between meso- and bathypelagic layers, suggesting that the export of TEP from meso- to bathypelagic waters should be more efficient than that from epip- to mesopelagic waters. Another alternative explanation is that in the epipelagic layer there are TEP sinks that are absent or are relatively less important in deeper layers, such as photo- degradation (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2009a), emission to the atmosphere as primary aerosols (Orellana et al., 2011), or uptake and degradation by zooplankton (Ling and Allardige, 2003; Passow and Allardige, 1999; Taylor et al., 2014), which are more abundant and exhibit higher activity rates in epipelagic waters.

Noticeably, while the decrease in TEP with depth was similar in both Mediterranean Sea basins, it was lower in the Atlantic Ocean, as shown by a lower slope in the depth-TEP log-log relationship (Fig. 2). This would suggest, in the Atlantic Ocean, TEP is more efficiently transferred to deep waters than in the Mediterranean Sea. Although POC and TEP transfer efficiencies are still to be quantified by particle flux measurements, which were not performed in our study, this observation might help explain the relatively higher C:N molar ratios of
Table 3
Results of log–log RMA regression analyses between archetype values of temperature (temp), dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), heterotrophic prokaryotic abundance (PHA) and prokaryotic heterotrophic production (PHP) and archetype values of transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in meso- and bathypelagic waters of the Mediterranean Sea, both merged and split into Eastern and Western basins. $r^2$ = explained variance; $p$ = level of significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dep. Var.</th>
<th>Ind. Var.</th>
<th>Eastern basins ($n =$ 11)</th>
<th>Western basins ($n =$ 8)</th>
<th>All basins ($n =$ 19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$r^2$ ± SE</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>Intercept ± SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$−13.31 ± 2.10$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.03</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$−10.93 ± 1.50$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP/POC</td>
<td>POC</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$−1.21 ± 0.06$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP/PHP</td>
<td>PHA</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$−5.05 ± 0.46$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP/PHP</td>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$−4.72 ± 0.55$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage of POC that could be attributed to TEP in our study varied between 4 and > 100% (Table 1) and was on average 75% of POC in the epipelagic Mediterranean Sea but 50% of POC in the deep Mediterranean layers. These values are in the upper range of previously published studies in the Atlantic Ocean (Engel, 2004; Malpezzi et al., 2013), higher than in the West Coast of India (Bhaskar and Bhosle, 2006), but lower than those measured in the Arctic Ocean (Yamada et al., 2015; Yamada et al., 2017), the Pacific Ocean (Yamada et al., 2017) or in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011). Estimates of TEP contribution to the POC pool must be taken with caution, because in some of our samples the %TEP/POC was higher than 100%, a value impossible by definition, yet a fact that has been previously observed in Mediterranean Sea studies (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Parinos et al., 2017). The different cut-off filters used (GF/F for POC, 0.4 µm for TEP) make the comparisons difficult since small TEP are particularly abundant (Passow, 2002b). In any case, the used invariant standard conversion factor from XG to TEP-C was calculated from phytoplankton cultures or waters enriched in phytoplankton (Engel and Passow, 2001) but not present in our study. In situ TEP, particularly in the deep ocean, are likely derived from other sources, and their specific composition and properties, including their carbon content, is likely different than that in surface samples. Additional studies are definitely needed to obtain accurate estimations of TEP carbon content in contrasting locations and environmental scenarios, the deep ocean in our case.

Looking at the variability between ocean basins, the most remarkable feature is the higher contribution of TEP to the POC pool in the Atlantic Ocean: 79% in mesopelagic Atlantic waters opposed to 47% in mesopelagic Mediterranean Waters, and 67% in bathypelagic Atlantic waters, opposed to bathypelagic Mediterranean waters (48% and 45% in the E and W basins, Table 1). This difference likely reflects changes in POC export and the efficiency of the biological carbon pump between oceans. The decrease in TEP with depth was paralleled by the decrease in POC with depth: Both TEP and POC decreases with depth were lower in the Atlantic Ocean than in the Mediterranean Sea (supplementary Fig. 1). This could be caused by the higher TEP contribution to POC in the Atlantic Ocean, that could enhance particle aggregation due to the high TEP stickiness (Logan et al., 1995).

In epipelagic waters, the uncoupling of Chl a and TEP distribution in our study was in line with previous observations in the Mediterranean Sea (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2018; Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2017). This may reflect the inaccuracy of Chl a concentration as an estimator of phytoplankton biomass, as C:Chl a ratios vary in the water column due to e.g. photo-acclimation. In fact, we observed, that the highest TEP concentrations were generally shallower than the deep chlorophyll maxima, in agreement with Ortega-Retuerta et al. (2017). Moreover, the relatively good relationship between TEP and O2 suggests that primary production, not measured during the HOTMIX 2014 cruise, could be a better predictor of TEP production.
predictor of TEP distribution than Chl $a$ in line with the results of Ortega-Retuerta et al. (2017). TEP distribution in the epipelagic was better predicted by the abundance of *Synechococcus*, micro- and nano-plankton. Although this is the first time a significant relationship between *Synechococcus* and TEP is shown, *Synechococcus* are known to produce aggregates (Deng et al., 2015). Conversely, prokaryotic abundance and production were related to TEP, as previously observed in the epipelagic Mediterranean Sea (Ortega-Retuerta et al., 2010). However, the relatively low explained variance in all these relationships illustrates the complexity of mechanisms driving TEP distributions and thus the difficulty in establishing a single predictor for TEP occurrence in the Mediterranean Sea.

The RMA regression analyses between archetype TEP and temperature or DOC showed different relationships between these variables in the two Mediterranean basins (Fig. 5A and B, Table 3). In general, water masses from the Western Mediterranean were relatively more enriched in TEP compared to DOC than those from the Eastern basin. This observation supports the fact that a higher percentage of oxygen demand is due to DOC in the Eastern than in the Western basin (Catalá et al., 2018). This difference in relative TEP concentrations (i.e. more TEP in water masses of similar temperatures) suggests that TEP in the Mediterranean Sea were not conservatively distributed with the different water masses (i.e. the main mechanisms transporting TEP to the ocean interior are not convection and/or deep water formation events).

Alternatively, the significant relationships between TEP and POC could be described using similar equations in the two Mediterranean basins (Fig. 5C), so TEP could be similarly predicted by POC changes in both Mediterranean sub-basins. This suggests that TEP in water masses of similar temperatures suggests that TEP in the Mediterranean Sea were not conservatively distributed with the different water masses (i.e. the main mechanisms transporting TEP to the ocean interior are not convection and/or deep water formation events).

Fig. 5. Scatter plots showing log-log RMA regression analyses between archetype values of temperature (TPot, A), dissolved organic carbon (DOC, B), particulate organic carbon (POC, C), and prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA, D), and archetype TEP concentrations in the meso- and bathypelagic water masses of the Mediterranean Sea. The regression equations are presented in Table 3. Green triangles: Eastern Mediterranean basin. Light blue squares: Western Mediterranean basin.

Fig. 6. Log-log RMA relationships between prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA) and transparent exopolymer particles (TEP) in epipelagic waters (Epi, open green circles), and deep waters (Deep, dark blue diamonds) and between daily increases in PHA ($\Delta$PHA) and increases in TEP ($\Delta$TEP) in the experimental incubations (Exp, red triangles). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
pool in other ocean areas (Hamanaka et al., 2002; Mari et al., 2017).

TEP distribution in the meso- and bathypelagic waters are also well predicted by prokaryotic heterotrophic abundance (PHA, Table 3 and Fig. 5D). Weinbauer et al. (2013) also observed significant relationships between heterotrophic prokaryotes and TEP concentrations in the twilight zone of the NW Mediterranean Sea, though not comparable to our study since they measured TEP microscopically. Although conditions for prokaryotes in the deep ocean are less favourable than in the epipelagic, and microbes grow at lower rates (Aristegui et al., 2009), deep ocean prokaryotes are also known to release gel-forming polysaccharides potentially generating TEP (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011).

The role of prokaryotes as a significant TEP source in the deep Mediterranean Sea was experimentally confirmed, as elevated amounts of TEP were generated following prokaryotic growth during all the incubations we performed, particularly in the mesopelagic waters asayed. This is, to our knowledge, the first experimental evidence of prokaryotic TEP generation in deep ocean waters. Increases in TEP could be predicted from prokaryotic growth, with rather similar per cell TEP generation rates in the four incubations. The experimental generation rates presented similar slopes than the in situ PHA-TEP relationship in the deep than in the epipelagic or in the experiments suggests that prokaryotes in this layer do not only produce TEP but might also consume and degrade TEP derived from other sources, likely those exported from the upper layers. In this line, previous studies have shown intense prokaryote colonisation of TEP in the deep ocean (Bar-Zeev et al., 2011; Bochdansky et al., 2016).

5. Conclusions

We present the first basin-wide distribution of TEP in the Mediterranean Sea. We conclude that TEP distribution and cycling patterns in the Mediterranean Sea are different from those in the Atlantic Ocean, reflected in a more limited connectivity of TEP from the epipelagic layer to the dark ocean -probably due to higher remineralization rates in the Mediterranean Sea, but with higher TEP relative content in particles in the Atlantic Ocean. This could translate into different POC export efficiencies among the different basins. In situ measurements of particle fluxes in these ocean basins are needed to confirm this hypothesis. Combining in situ data and experiments, we suggest that TEP sinking from upper layers and in situ production by prokaryotes, mostly drive TEP spatial distribution in Mediterranean meso- and bathypelagic layers.
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Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>PHA (× 10^7 cells L^-1 d^-1)</th>
<th>PHP_int (µg C L^-1)</th>
<th>SGR (d^-1)</th>
<th>ΔTEP (µg XG eq L^-1 d^-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Western Mediterranean Deep water</td>
<td>0.59 (± 0.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.7 (± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Mediterranean Levantine intermediate water</td>
<td>57.05 (± 0.25)</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>232.2 (± 14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean-DCM water</td>
<td>3.43 (± 0.26)</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>7.7 (± 3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Mediterranean-Levantine intermediate water</td>
<td>8.91 (± 0.71)</td>
<td>8.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>16.4 (± 5.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>TEP (µg XG eq L^-1)</th>
<th>Chl (µg L^-1)</th>
<th>POC (µmol l^-1)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Levantine basin</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>March/Jul/Sep</td>
<td>2008/2009</td>
<td>116–420</td>
<td>0.04–0.07</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>Bar-Zeev et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>48–189</td>
<td>&lt; 0.32</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&gt; 300</td>
<td></td>
<td>83–386</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Western</td>
<td>4–200</td>
<td>May–June</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4.9–54.2</td>
<td>0.10–0.65</td>
<td>3.7–7.2</td>
<td>Ortega-Retuerta et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200-2300</td>
<td>May–June</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5.2–19.0</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Western</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>2012–2014</td>
<td>11.3–289.1</td>
<td>0.15–1.21</td>
<td>5.4–24.0</td>
<td>Ortega-Retuerta et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal NW (rocky shore)</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>2012–2015</td>
<td>4.6–90</td>
<td>0.02–0.54</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Iuculano et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal NW (seagrass litter)</td>
<td>Surface</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>2012–2015</td>
<td>26.8–1878.4</td>
<td>0.02–0.54</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Western</td>
<td>20–50 (dem)</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1999–2000</td>
<td>0.35–0.2 × 10^6^-1</td>
<td>&lt; 2.9</td>
<td>3.70–10.35</td>
<td>Beauvais et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Western</td>
<td>300 m</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>0.52–1.4 × 10^6^-1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Weinbauer et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alboran Sea offshore</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>25–121</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East-West transect</td>
<td>0–200</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4.5–94.3</td>
<td>0.1–1.78</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Ortega-Retuerta et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>October</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>15.4–81.4</td>
<td>0.08–0.30</td>
<td>2.15–5.84</td>
<td>Patinós et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>39.1–188</td>
<td>0.04–0.60</td>
<td>1.64–9.75</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>July</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>31.7–156</td>
<td>0.04–0.50</td>
<td>2.01–7.00</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Aegean</td>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>June–July/January–February</td>
<td>2003–2004</td>
<td>208–441</td>
<td>0.5–1.6</td>
<td>0.28–1.28</td>
<td>Scoullos et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastal Adriatic</td>
<td>0–37</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>1999–2002</td>
<td>4–1400</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Radic et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>5.6–76.5</td>
<td>0.13–0.92</td>
<td>0.10–8.60</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East-West transect</td>
<td>0–200</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.2–34.7</td>
<td>0.26–5.37</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>201–1000</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.6–11.2</td>
<td>0.13–1.62</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* TEP analysed by microscopy counting.
References