Journal of Hazardous Materials 322 (2017) 437–444

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Hazardous Materials

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

# Acute and chronic effects of magnetic microparticles potentially used in lake restoration on *Daphnia magna* and *Chironomus* sp.

I. Álvarez-Manzaneda[a,b], E. Ramos-Rodríguez[a,b], M.J. López-Rodríguez[a,b], G. Parra[c], A. Funes[a,b], I. de Vicente[a,b,*]

[a] Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Spain
[b] Instituto del Agua, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Spain
[c] Departamento de Biología Animal, Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, 23071 Spain

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Toxic effects of MPs and dFe on *D. magna* and on *Chironomus* sp. have been assessed.
- $EC_{50}$ (immobilization) was much higher in *D. magna* than in *Chironomus* sp.
- dFe caused significant and negative effects on *D. magna* reproduction.
- No effect of dFe on death of larvae, pupae or emerged adults of *Chironomus* sp.
- MPs addition is a riskless and efficient tool for lake restoration.

## GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT




## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*
Received 22 June 2016
Received in revised form 4 October 2016
Accepted 16 October 2016
Available online 17 October 2016

*Keywords:*
Iron magnetic particles
Lake restoration
Toxicity
*Chironomus* sp
*Daphnia magna*

## ABSTRACT

Magnetic microparticles (MPs) have been recently proposed as a new and promising tool for restoring eutrophicated waters. In this study, we analyzed the acute (immobilization) and chronic effects of iron (Fe) MPs on *Daphnia magna* and on the benthic macroinvertebrate *Chironomus* sp. In the chronic toxicity tests the offspring production (male and female) in *D. magna* and the mortality of larvae and pupae, and adult emergence in *Chironomus* sp. experiments were used as the endpoints. The concentration of MPs that caused 50% of immobilized individuals ($EC_{50}$) in the acute toxicity test was much higher in *D. magna* (0.913 g MPs $l^{-1}$) than in *Chironomus* sp. (0.445 g MPs $l^{-1}$). The results of chronic toxicity tests in *D. magna* showed that in presence of dissolved Fe (dFe), parthenogenetic reproduction was significantly affected, while no significant effect on mortality of larvae and pupae and on adult emergence was detected in *Chironomus* sp. test. Taking into account both that long-term exposure is not likely to occur and the regular dose of MPs potentially used in a restoration plan, we conclude that MPs is a riskless (no toxic effect on planktonic and benthic organisms) and efficient (high P adsorption capacity) tool for lake restoration.



* Corresponding author at: Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071 Spain.
*E-mail address:* ivicente@ugr.es (I. de Vicente).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2016.10.035
0304-3894/

## 1. Introduction

Biogeochemical cycles are being dramatically and worldwide affected by human activities. For the case of phosphorus (P), human intervention has mobilized nearly half a billion tons of this element from phosphate rock into the hydrosphere over the past half century [1]. As a result, nowadays, we are facing two problems: the exhaustion of P reserves, essential for making fertilizers, and the P enrichment of inland aquatic ecosystems, which is the responsible for eutrophication. On the one hand, experts disagree on how much P is left and how quickly it will be exhausted but many argue that a shortage is coming and that it will leave the world's future food supply hanging in the balance [2]. On the other hand, eutrophication is currently considered as a worldwide problem which affects 30% of the inland aquatic ecosystems [3–5]. As the main limiting nutrient of the primary production in aquatic ecosystems is P, it is essential to consider as a preliminary strategy the reduction in P concentration in the water column. To achieve this goal, three different but complementary approaches have been proposed [6]: (i) a reduction in P external loading, (ii) an increase in P retention by the sediment and (iii) an increase in P export from the system. Controlling the external load is an essential step to manage and restore the eutrophicated systems, in fact, it has been observed that an insufficient reduction in P external loading results in a long-term failure in lake restoration (8–10 yr; [7]).

Up to date, there is no a management tool as *panacea* for eutrophicated inland waters. Although chemical adsorbents such as Fe, aluminum (Al) and calcium (Ca) salts seem to be the most convenient, it is relevant to consider that, although inactivated, P remains in sediments and may be released to water column under changing physic-chemical and biological conditions such as temperature, pH, redox potential, biological activity or resuspension [8–12]. In order to by-pass these difficulties, great attention has recently been paid for developing new and efficient adsorbents that are able to reduce P levels in water bodies. One of the most promising methods is the addition of magnetic microparticles (MPs) for P removal to aquatic ecosystems as we get the P out of the system, so this method conducts to an increase in P export (P is removed from both lake water and lake sediment; [12]). Therefore, MPs are used to adsorb contaminants from aqueous effluents and after the adsorption is carried out, the adsorbent can be separated from the medium by a simple high gradient magnetic separation process [13]. Once P is trapped, it can be later desorbed and recovered and simultaneously, MPs can be reused for adsorbing more P because they still maintain a high P adsorption capacity [13]. All in all, several outstanding advantages of using these particles for lake restoration can be highlighted: (i) the high P: MPs molar ratio under both batch and flow conditions [13,14]; (ii) the fast P adsorption process (in just 2 h under batch conditions; [12]); (iii) the ability for adsorbing P even in anoxic conditions [12]; (iv) the recovery of MPs from the solution, reducing both economic costs and toxic effects on the biota and (v) the potential reusability of the recovered P as a fertilizer.

Despite the excellent advantages of using MPs, before using them in a "whole-lake application", it is essential to assess their toxicological effects on both planktonic and benthic organisms. Up to date, there only exist studies focused on the toxicity of nano and no magnetic particles [15–21] but no similar studies have been developed for magnetic Fe MPs. The procedures currently in use for conventional risk assessment have a first step that consists in the identification and characterization of hazards based, among others, in basic toxicity tests [22]. Present study is the first step in the MPs ecotoxicological assessment but experimental designs mimicking a natural environment (microcosms and mesoscosms) and in situ assays will be necessary to be conducted in the near future. Additionally, it is important to consider that in a whole-lake application, MPs would be removed after 24 h but dissolved iron (dFe) could be mobilized to the water column and stay longer time in contact with aquatic biota, with the subsequent potential toxic effects. In this context, the general aim of this paper was to assess, by laboratory tests and following standardized Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) protocols, the short- and long-term effects of magnetic MPs on both benthic and planktonic organisms. In particular, the specific aims were to evaluate both the acute effects (immobilization) of MPs on *D. magna* and *Chironomus* sp. and the chronic effects of dFe on *D. magna* and *Chironomus* sp.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Sampling and culturing of test organisms

Experiments were carried out with *D. magna* and *Chironomus* sp. *D. magna* is a cladoceran which has been widely used in toxicity tests due to it sensibility to contaminants (e.g. [23,17]) and because of its size, high fecundity, parthenogenetic reproduction, short life-cycle and its relatively facility for culturing [24]. For this study, *D. magna* was isolated from Lake Grande (Jaén, Southern Spain). In the laboratory, a single clone from a parthenogenetic female was obtained. *Daphnia* cultures were maintained with densities ranging from 20 to 30 ind $l^{-1}$ [25] in 1 l glass beakers containing hard (209 mg $l^{-1}$ of total hardness) commercial mineral water (<4 μg P $L^{-1}$). Daphnids were fed *ad libidum* ($5 \times 10^4$ cells $ml^{-1}$, 0.0027 mg C) three times a week with a pure culture of the chlorophycean algae *Chlorella* sp. *Chlorella* sp. (365 $\mu m^3$, diameter: 8.8 μm), which was originated from a culture collection of the University of Granada, was maintained in an 800 ml volume with Bold's Basal Medium (BBM; [26]). Photoperiod was set to 16 h light: 8 h dark cycle and temperature at 22 ± 0.5 °C. To avoid the sedimentation of algae's cells, the culture was shaken at 100 rpm. Algal cell concentration was estimated using Neubauer's counting chamber.

On the other side, benthic macroinvertebrates are a very suitable community to carry out ecotoxicological tests due to their easy collection, relatively slow mobility and their life expectancy [27]. In particular, larvae of *Chironomus* sp. have a great ecological relevance for ecotoxicological researches [27]. In the present study, up to 100 individuals of *Chironomus* sp. were collected from river Beiro (Granada, Southern Spain) using a kick net with 250 μm of mesh. Once in the laboratory, chironomid larvae were placed in a 50 × 26 × 36 cm aquarium, containing silica sand and three aerators to prevent anoxia. Hard (209 mg $l^{-1}$ of total hardness) commercial mineral water (<4 μg P $L^{-1}$) was used to fill the aquarium. Chironomids feeding was carried out three times a week by using fish flakes food [28].

### 2.2. General characterization of magnetic microparticles

Micronsized iron (Fe) particles were kindly supplied by BASF (Germany) and used without further treatment to make the suspensions. According to the manufacturer, the composition of this powder is 97.5% Fe, 0.9% C, 0.5% O and 0.9% N. Previous studies have characterized in detail their magnetization properties, electrophoretic mobility, particle size distribution and P adsorption properties [13,14,29]. In brief, MPs used in this work are spherical in shape, relatively polydisperse and with a mean diameter of 805 ± 10 nm. As expected, a ferromagnetic behavior was found for MPs with a negligible remnant magnetization. MPs present a thin oxide surface layer and hence behave as amphoteric solids with surface charges controlled by the pH in the aqueous medium, with an isoelectric point around pH 6.5. Although MPs experienced a slight decrease in P removal efficiency with increasing pH, P removal efficiency was larger than 85% at pH 7. Finally, reused MPs have a

similar P maximum adsorption capacity (18.83 mg P $g^{-1}$ Fe) as bare MPs (15.80 mg P $g^{-1}$ Fe).

### 2.3. Toxicological tests with Daphnia magna

Tests were made according to different OECD standardized protocols (2004, 2012) and using as reference solution of 1 g MPs $l^{-1}$ concentration, which is the concentration with a high P removal efficiency [13]. Following OECD protocols, no adjustment of pH was carried out as the pH remained in the range 6–9. In particular, pH was quite stable after MPs addition with a mean value of $7.96 \pm 0.06$, for all MPs concentrations.

#### 2.3.1. Acute immobilization test with magnetic particles

To run the immobilization test, 202 OECD Part I standardized protocol was followed [30]. We used, <24-h-old, F2-generation females of our clone of *D. magna*. Thirty five *D. magna* females were isolated and fed with 0.0035 mg C (37,000 cells) of *Chlorella* sp. $ml^{-1}$. Individually, female neonates were randomly distributed in groups of five individuals in 50 ml glass beakers containing the following MPs concentrations: 0.01; 0.05; 0.1; 0.5; 0.7; 1 and 2 g MPs $l^{-1}$ (concentration selection was carried out based on the results of a preliminary test as recommended by OECD protocol). All control and treatments were run in five replicates. All glass beakers were randomly placed in a culture chamber at 23 °C and a 14:10 light: dark cycle. After 24 and 48 h, mortality, immobilization (when animals are not able to swim within 15 s, after gentle agitation of the test vessel) and abnormal behaviors were recorded. As it is stated in the standardized OECD protocol, organisms were not fed during the experiment.

#### 2.3.2. Reproduction test with dissolved iron

Following an slight modification of 211 OECD [31] standardized test, a reproduction test was run to assess sub-lethal effects of dFe on *D. magna* after 21 days. To carry out this chronic test, suspensions containing the following MPs concentrations were prepared: 0.01; 0.05; 0.1; 0.5; 1 and 2 g MPs $l^{-1}$. Similarly to a real lake-application [13,14,12], after 24 h, MPs were removed by using magnetic techniques, and with the remaining solutions (containing dFe) the reproduction test was run. dFe concentration was measured in the filtrate (Whatman GFC filters) by using the spectrophotometric ferrozine method proposed by Gibbs [32], and all dFe concentrations were lower than the detection limit for all treatments. The reproduction test consisted of placing individually, <24-h-old, F3-generation females of our clone of *D. magna* into 100 ml glass tubes containing 50 ml of the above mentioned solutions enriched in dFe. Daphnids were fed with 0.1 mg C *Daphnia*$^{-1}$ of algal concentration ($1.8 \times 10^6$ cells $ml^{-1}$) in an isolated room at $22 \pm 0.5$ °C and a light: darkness cycle of 16: 8 h. Each treatment was run in ten replicates, and the medium was renovated three times a week. Every day, the number of female and male offspring and the survivorship of *D. magna* individuals were recorded. Every day, the offspring were removed. The survivorship of *D. magna* was always 100% in control and treatments.

### 2.4. Toxicological tests with Chironomus sp.

#### 2.4.1. Immobilization test with magnetic particles

Immobilization test was performed according to the 235 OECD standard method [33] The experimental design consisted of adding five larvae of the same cohort to each 50 ml glass beaker. Four replicates per treatment, including the control, were considered. For this test, concentrations were the same as those used for *Daphnia* immobilization test (section 2.3.1): 0.01; 0.1; 0.5; 0.7; 1 and 2 g MPs $l^{-1}$. Each beaker was randomly placed in the laboratory at 23 °C and under a natural light cycle. After the 24 and 48 h-exposure, observations were carried out to each individual for 15 s. In this period of time the immobilization, as well as any signal of affectation, was recorded.

#### 2.4.2. Chronic exposure test with dissolved iron

Solutions enriched in dFe were prepared similarly to those used for the reproduction test with *Daphnia* (section 2.3.2). Nominal MPs concentrations were: 0.01; 0.05; 0.1; 1 and 2 g MPs $l^{-1}$. dFe concentration was measured following the method above described for reproduction test for *Daphnia magna*. As suggested by OECD for *Chironomus* sp., acute immobilization test, long-term test (30 days) was run with four replicates (control and treatments). An additional replicate for each concentration was used for measuring physic-chemical variables (temperature, conductivity and dissolved oxygen concentration with a multiparameter probe Eutech PCD650). The methodological approach consisted of placing, in each 50 ml glass beakers, five chironomids from the same cohort. They were fed three times a week with 2 ml of food flake fish diluted in 100 ml of mineral water. Beakers were placed randomly in the laboratory at 23 °C and under a natural light cycle. Every day, any signal of stress, adult emergency and physic-chemical variables were recorded.

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

To estimate the MPs concentration that causes the immobilization of 50% of the individuals during the exposure period ($EC_{50}$–48 h), as well as its 95% confidence limits, a Probit analysis with the statistical program SPSS was carried out [29]. This analysis is a kind of regression model to analyze a binominal response variable. To analyze the results of the chronic exposure tests in *Daphnia* and *Chironomus*, the R program was used considering the recommendations of Sokal and Rohlf [34].

Normality and homogeneity of variances were checked by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and Levene's test, respectively [32]. Our data did not satisfied normality and homocedasticity assumptions (Shapiro-Wilk and Levene tests, respectively with $p < 0.05$), and transformations did not achieve data to follow a normal distribution. In consequence, a non-parametric one way analysis of variance (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA) [35] was performed to test the effects of dFe on the number of *Daphnia* offspring (males and females), the number of dead larvae and pupae and the number of emerged adults of *Chironomus*. Mann–Whitney *U* tests, corrected for multiple testing with the sequential Bonferroni test [36] were used for examining differences in all these response variables between pairs of treatments.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Toxicological tests with Daphnia magna

#### 3.1.1. Immobilization test with magnetic particles

In the final immobilization test with *D. magna* no immobilization effects were registered in the control, as expected, while the percentage of immobilized organisms increased when increasing MPs concentration (Fig. 1). In addition, when organisms were exposed to 0.01 g MPs $l^{-1}$ no immobilization effect was recorded on the population, while in the highest concentration (2 g Fe $l^{-1}$) all animals were affected. The $EC_{50}$ (always referred to 48 h) was 0.913 g $l^{-1}$ (our data were adjusted to a normal distribution; Pearson's adjustment; $p > 0.05$).

#### 3.1.2. Reproduction test with dissolved iron

Significant effects of dFe on the production of females offspring in *D. magna* have been found (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA, $\chi^2 = 16.14$,




**Fig. 1.** Individuals of *D. magna* immobilized (%) after their contact with MPs for 24 h and 48 h. Vertical error bars show standard deviation (SD). n = 5.

$p < 0.05$). *Daphnia* raised in any concentration of dFe had significantly lower total number of female neonates than control did (Fig. 2a). In the presence of dFe, median values of female neonates ranged from 0 (2 g $l^{-1}$) to 4.5 (0.01 g $l^{-1}$). However, no differences were found between dFe concentrations, exclusive of control, for this trait (Fig. 2a). The post-hoc analysis, after applying the Bonferroni's correction, showed significant differences between the control and any treatment ($p < 0.05$ in all the cases).

For the case of male offspring, dFe did not stimulated their production in *D. magna* (Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA; $\chi^2 = 10.26$; $p > 0.05$; Fig. 2b). Median values was 0 in all control and treatments and the number of male neonates ranged from 0 to 8 (0.1 and 1 g $l^{-1}$).

### 3.2. Toxicological tests with Chironomus sp.

#### 3.2.1. Immobilization test with magnetic particles

As Fig. 3 shows, immobilization increased with MPs concentrations and the total immobilization in *Chironomus* sp. population was recorded at 2 g MPs $l^{-1}$. Data fit to a normal distribution ($p > 0.05$; Pearson adjustment) and 0.445 g MPs $l^{-1}$ was identified as the concentration that caused the immobilization in half of the population ($EC_{50}$).

#### 3.2.2. Chronic exposure test with dissolved iron

Table 1 summarizes physic-chemical variables recorded along the chronic experiment with *Chironomus* sp. In brief, pH was slightly basic and average values of electric conductivity, dissolved oxygen concentration and temperature ranged from 1.56 to 1.82 mS $cm^{-1}$, from 4.00 to 5.00 mg $l^{-1}$ and from 19.4 to 19.9 °C, respectively.

The long-term experiment results have evidenced the absence of any significant effect of dFe concentration on the number of dead larvae, dead pupae and emerged adults (Fig. 4a–c respectively; Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA: for the number of dead larvae: $X^2 = 5.0327$, $p > 0.05$; for the number of dead pupae: $X^2 = 6.602$, $p > 0.05$; and for the number of emerged adults: $X^2 = 4.251$, $p > 0.0$).

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Effects of MPs on the organism immobilization

$EC_{50}$ referred to immobilization was notably lower in *Chironomus* sp. (0.445 g MPs $l^{-1}$) than in *D. magna* (0.913 g MPs $l^{-1}$), showing that the benthic organism was more sensitive than the planktonic one. This is likely to be the result of drastic differences in the lifestyle of these organisms. In fact, chironomids are benthic animals and hence they will be in contact with precipitated Fe throughout the experiment, while *D. magna*, a planktonic organism, is much less time in contact with MPs as these particles rapidly settle down in the water column. At this point, it is relevant that considering the 53 mg MPs: mg P mass ratio as the adsorption efficiency ratio, reported in previous studies ([13,14]; Table 2); the addition of 0.4 g MPs $l^{-1}$ ($EC_{50}$ for *Chironomus* sp.) and 0.91 g MPs $l^{-1}$ ($EC_{50}$ for *D. magna*) would correspond to a treatment scenario of 8.4 and 19.7 mg P $l^{-1}$, respectively, which are extremely high values for typical inland waters. In fact, considering the annual mean TP concentration typical for eutrophic (30–100 μg $l^{-1}$) and hypereutrophic (>100 μg $l^{-1}$) systems following [37], it would be necessary to add just 1.4 and 4 mg MPs $l^{-1}$, respectively. Even more, MPs concentrations lower than 50 mg MPs $l^{-1}$ would be necessary to be added if we consider representative P concentration in porewater of eutrophic lakes (≈1000 μg $l^{-1}$). Therefore, only slight effects on immobilization of test organisms (*Chironomus* sp. and *D. magna*) are expected when adding MPs in relation to realistic P concentration in a restoration strategy.

Moreover, it is important to note that standardized OECD immobilization protocols with *D. magna* and *Chironomus* sp. are referred to an exposure of 24 h and 48 h. However, when applying this technique in a whole-lake experiment, MPs would be added to the lake water and after 24 h they would be removed as previous studies have found that maximum P adsorption occurred during this contact time [38]. For this reason, toxic effects which may result from the application of MPs are likely to be even less than those detected in these laboratory tests.

In order to compare toxicity of MPs with other P adsorbents (Phoslock, alum, Zeolites, calcite) used for lake restoration, a wide literature review has been done. We have focused our attention




**Fig. 2.** Number of female offspring (a) and male offspring (b) of *D. magna* produced during 21 days in contact with dFe. *Line* median. *Boxes* 25%–75%. *Whiskers* min–max. n = 10. *White circle* represent the outlier.




**Fig. 3.** Individuals of *Chironomus* sp. immobilized (%) after their contact with MPs for 24 h and 48 h. Vertical error bars show standard deviation of data (SD). n = 4.

**Table 1**
Physic-chemical parameters recorded during the long-term experiment with *Chironomus* sp. Data are mean ± SD (min-max).

| MPs ($g\,l^{-1}$) | pH | Conductivity ($mS\,cm^{-1}$) | $O_2$ ($mg\,l^{-1}$) | T (°C) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Control | 8.30 ± 3.83 (7.04–8.81) | 1.77 ± 0.55 (1.01–2.73) | 4.30 ± 1.36 (1.39–7.43) | 19.9 ± 0.3 (18.8–20.8) |
| 0.01 | 8.48 ± 3.98 (7.8–9.05) | 1.82 ± 0.68 (1.02–3.40) | 4.93 ± 0.75 (3.33–7.48) | 19.6 ± 0.3 (18.6–20.8) |
| 0.05 | 8.48 ± 3.97 (7.8–9.07) | 1.66 ± 0.48 (1.00–2.63) | 4.72 ± 0.74 (2.94–7.55) | 19.5 ± 0.4 (19.2–20.2) |
| 0.1 | 8.57 ± 4.01 (7.79–9.03) | 1.67 ± 0.46 (0.99–2.63) | 5.00 ± 0.60 (3.75–7.54) | 19.6 ± 0.4 (19.2–20.5) |
| 0.5 | 8.58 ± 3.98 (7.76–9.02) | 1.67 ± 0.48 (0.99–2.70) | 4.66 ± 0.53 (3.61–7.29) | 19.4 ± 0.4 (18.6–20.3) |
| 1 | 8.54 ± 3.98 (7.66–8.99) | 1.62 ± 0.45 (0.99–2.72) | 4.23 ± 0.72 (1.57–7.18) | 19.5 ± 0.4 (18.8–20.3) |
| 2 | 8.54 ± 4.01 (7.66–9.37) | 1.56 ± 0.41 (0.99–2.57) | 4.00 ± 0.56 (1.33–5.71) | 19.5 ± 0.5 (19.1–20.6) |

**Table 2**
Comparative values of $EC_{50}$ for *Daphnia magna* and *Chironomus* sp. for the most frequently used P adsorbent in lake restoration. P removal efficiency is also shown.

| Adsorbent | Test species | End point | $EC_{50}$ ($mg\,l^{-1}$) | P removal efficiency (g product $g^{-1}$ P) | References |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MPs | *Daphnia magna* | Immobilization | 1048 | 53[a] | This study |
| | *Chironomus* sp. | Immobilization | 445 | | This study |
| Phoslock | *Daphnia magna* | Growth (weight based rate) | 871 | 100[b] | Lürling and Tolman (2010) |
| | | Growth (length based rate) | 1557 | | |
| Aluminum sulphate | *Daphnia magna* | Mortality[d] | 38.2 | | Kimball in Gostomski (1990) |
| | *Daphnia magna* | Life cycle[e] | 0.742 | | Kimball in Gostomski (1990) |
| Aluminum chloride | *Daphnia magna* | Mortality[d] | 25.3 | 66[c] | Brooke et al. (1985) in Gostomski (1990) |

[a] de Vicente et al. (2010).
[b] Lürling & Tolman (2010).
[c] de Vicente et al. (2008).
[d] Mortality reported in acute tests and Life cycle.
[e] Mortality reported in chronic tests.

on *D. magna* and *Chironomus* sp. An evident scarcity in this type of toxicity and well standardized tests render a tricky comparison (Table 2). If we compare MPs and Phoslock, $EC_{50}$, although referred to different endpoints, was in the same order of magnitude for both adsorbents. However, it is crucial to take in consideration that P removal efficiency was half for Phoslock compared to MPs; thus, it is expected major toxic effects of Phoslock on *D. magna* than of MPs in a whole-lake restoration project. In relation to the $EC_{50}$ for MPs and alum, it is clear from Table 2 that much higher values have been found for MPs reflecting the lower toxicity of this adsorbent compared to alum. In fact, Gostomski [39] remarked that *D. magna* is one of the most sensitive invertebrate species to alum. Galvez-Cloutier et al., [40] evaluated, by means of a laboratory microcosm experiment, the effect of adding alum, calcite and both alum + calcite on the survival of different planktonic and benthic species but no $EC_{50}$ values were reported. They found that in general, the restoration techniques had neither acute nor chronic toxic effects on survival of *D. magna.* They also found that the alum + calcite technique impaired the survival of *Chironomus riparius*, and that the midge emergence was much higher compared to alum only and control. A recent and interesting study was carried out by Clearwater et al., [41] but no planktonic organisms were considered, just native benthic-dwelling macroinvertebrates and fish. These authors compared, by laboratory mesocosms, the lethal and sublethal effects of alum or Aqual-P (aluminum amended zeolite) and they found no significant effect of both adsorbents on survival or growth of the studied animals.

Currently, there is a complete lack of research on the effect of magnetic Fe microparticles on aquatic organisms, but studies have focused on nanoparticles. Nanoparticles, with lower size than MPs used in our tests, restrict the access of food in some organisms, staying in their filtering systems [42]. Toxicological studies on nanoparticles have shown that particles size and their aggregation have an important role in the determination of toxicity [15]. García et al. [16] reported some data about the lethal concentration for half of the population ($LC_{50}$ = 0.23 $mg\,l^{-1}$) of *D. magna* of magnetite nanoparticles ($Fe_3O_8$). Although the end point of the test is different, immobilization *vs* mortality, we can infer that magnetite nanoparticles are much more toxic for daphnids than our MPs. More recently, Baumann et al. [21] observed that coating Fe oxide nanoparticles drastically affected to daphnids mobilization, reporting $EC_{50}$ values which ranged from 27.9 (dextran coated nanoparticles) to >100 $mg\,l^{-1}$ (polymer coated nanoparticles). These values are again far below $EC_{50}$ values obtained in our study, which reflect the lower toxicity of our MPs for aquatic organisms.

### 4.2. Long-term effects of dissolved iron on test organisms

A typical example of response to a stress factor at organism level is the decrease in reproduction, resulting in a decrease in the size of the organism's population [43]. In some cases, a sublethal effect which result in an unable individual to produce viable offspring could be considered like a lethal effect because of the biological efficiency of the individual could be equal to a death individual [44].

Our results suggested that dFe had a negative effect on reproductive output in *D. magna* as it significantly reduced the number of female offspring but no effect on the number of male offspring was observed. However, it is essential to consider that is difficult to compare dFe concentrations that negatively affect *D. magna* reproduction with other metals reported in the literature [45,46] as it is well known that toxicity vary many orders of magnitude across metals since it depends on metal speciation and intrinsic toxicity. Even more, it is important to consider that the addition of MPs makes sense just in eutrophicated ecosystems, where the zooplankton community is dominated by rotifers instead of cladocerans [47,48], so much more research about long-term effects of MPs on rotifers is required before applying MPs in a whole-lake restoration strategy.

For the case of the long-term experiment with *Chironomus* sp., no effect of dFe on the number of dead larvae, dead pupae or emerged adult have been observed. Despite the above mentioned restrictions when comparing toxicity of different metals, next we present some evidences from the literature. Previous studies with *Chironomus riparius* and $Fe^{+2}$ observed, in a 48 h test, a significant




**Fig. 4.** Number of dead larvae (a), dead pupae (b) and emerged adults (c) of *Chironomus* sp. in the long-term test with dFe. *Line* median. *Boxes* 25%–75%. *Whiskers* min–max. n = 5.

mortality in larvae for concentrations up to 400 mg $l^{-1}$ [49]. It has been reported that indirect effects of dissolved colloids of Fe are more harmful than direct toxic impact of $Fe^{+2}$ [50]. These authors found that the number of invertebrates decreased with increasing Fe concentration, detecting physiological stress (which conducts to a decrease in reproduction and growth), and being the most tolerant families Tipulidae and Baetidae. On the other hand, Rasmussen and Lindegaard [51] observed that a lot of invertebrates which can live in eutrophic environments can tolerate high concentration of Fe.

### 4.3. Implications for lake restoration

If we consider a whole-lake application of MPs for removing P from both lake water and lake sediment, it is necessary to note the constrains for inferring MPs toxicity found in this study, under very controlled and simple conditions, to natural conditions. All the following features will evidence the overestimation of MPs toxicity in laboratory experiments compared to that expected under natural conditions: (i) in a real restoration project, MPs would be in contact with the plankton organisms for a very short time as MPs are characterized by a high settling velocity (considering MPs and water densities and following Stokes law, estimated value for MPs settling rate is 3.7 μm $s^{-1}$); (ii) in relation to MPs toxicity on benthic organism, it is also expected a lower affection as MPs will be in contact with them for just 24 h instead of the 48 h used in the standardized OECD toxicity tests; (iii) if we consider that the maximum P adsorption capacity by MPs (under batch conditions) was 18.83 mg P $g^{-1}$ MPs [13], and that 100% of immobilization in *D. magna* and *Chironomus* sp. have been reported in this study for 2 g MPs $l^{-1}$ (which correspond to 37.66 mg P $l^{-1}$), we can conclude that it is very unlikely to cause toxic effects on aquatic organisms under natural conditions as lower MPs concentration are likely to be necessary to apply and (iv) the complexity of the inland waters matrix may promote the occurrence of chemical reactions such as metal complexation which lastly may cause a reduction in dFe toxicity. In this sense, Sorvari and Sillanpää, [52] found that after complexation of some metals such as $Fe^{+3}$ with free EDTA and DTPA the metal toxicity on *D. magna* was drastically reduced.

## 5. Conclusions

According to the results obtained in the immobilization test with *D. magna*, MPs concentration responsible for the immobilization in half of the population of daphnids was 0.913 g MPs $l^{-1}$ ($EC_{50}$). The presence of dFe (at any concentration) significant and negatively affected to the number of female neonates and, as a result, it affected to the reproduction of *D. magna*. In addition, in the reproduction test with *D. magna*, no effect of dFe concentration on the number of male neonates was reported. The outcomes of this study is that MPs and dFe effects on immobilization and on reproduction, respectively, are lower than other reported in the literature for nanoparticles. Even more, considering that the addition of MPs makes sense just in eutrophicated ecosystems where the zooplankton community is dominated by rotifers instead of cladocerans, much more research about long-term effects of MPs on rotifers is required before applying MPs in a whole-lake restoration strategy. In relation to the toxicity assays with *Chironomus* sp., $EC_{50}$ for MPs was notably lower (0.445 g MPs $l^{-1}$) than that measured for *D. magna* (0.913 g MPs $l^{-1}$), which is likely to be the result of their different behavior (benthic *vs*. pelagic). Anyway, these MPs concentration are far above the MPs concentration required in a whole-lake restoration project if we consider the 53 mg MPs: mg P mass ratio reported in previous studies [13,14]. The long-term exposition test on *Chironomus* sp. with dFe evidenced the absence of significant effect on larvae and pupae mortality and on the emergency of adults. Therefore, we can conclude that using MPs for reducing P concentration in lake water and lake sediment is a riskless (no toxic effect) and efficient (high P adsorption capacity) tool for lake restoration although more research on toxicological effects on other plankton and benthic organisms is required.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Junta de Andalucía project P10-RNM-6630 (Proyectos de Excelencia, Spain), MINECO CTM

2013-46951-R projects (Spain) and by the European Funding for the Regional Development (*Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, FEDER*).

## References


[1] D. Cordell, A. Rosemarin, J.J. Schröder, A.L. Smit, Towards global phosphorus security: a systems framework for phosphorus recovery and reuse options, Chemosphere 84 (2011) 747–758, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.02.032.

[2] N. Gilbert, Environment: the disappearing nutrient, Nature 461 (2009) 716–718, http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/461716a.

[3] OECD, Eutrophisation des eaux. Méthodes de surveillance díevaluation et de lutte, Hydrobiology 69 (1982) 2, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19840690206.

[4] H. Sas, Lake restoration by reduction of nutrient loading: expectations, experiences, Extrapolations 76 (2) (1989) 286, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19910760211.

[5] D.G. Cooke, E.B. Welch, S.A. Petersonm, S.A. Nicholas, Restoration and Management of Lakes and Reservoirs, 2005, pp. 3–8.

[6] M. Hupfer, S. Hilt, Lake restoration, Encyclopedia of Ecology 3 (2008) 2080–2093.

[7] C. Laforsch, R. Tollrian, G.J.M. Granholm, J. Olszewski, C. Administrative, O. Jean, G. Kirk, B. Fink, M. Paustian, S. Semler, C. Farrell, V. Grigorescu, B. Prof, P. Erik, F. Daouda, K. Aïda, L. Cheikh, M. Mbacké, S. Ndèye, This article was originally published in the, Neuroscience 3 (2009) 1159–1166, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-008043152-9.02245-4.Author.

[8] H.S. Jensen, F.Ø. Andersen, Importance of temperature, nitrate, and pH for phosphate release from aerobic sediments of four shallow, eutrophic lakes, Limnol. Oceanogr. 37 (1992) 577–589, http://dx.doi.org/10.4319/lo.1992.37.3.0577.

[9] M. Søndergaard, P. Kristensen, E. Jeppesen, Phosphorus release from resuspended sediment in the shallow and wind-exposed Lake Arresø, Denmark, Hydrobiologia 228 (1992) 91–99, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00006480.

[10] E. Rydin, E.B. Welch, Aluminium dose requiered to inactivate phophate in lake sediments, Water Res. 32 (1998) 2969–2976.

[11] S. Egemose, G. Wauer, A. Kleeberg, Resuspension behaviour of aluminium treated lake sediments: effects of ageing and pH, Hydrobiologia 2 (2009) 1–15, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-009-9949-8.

[12] A. Funes, J. de Vicente, L. Cruz-Pizarro, I. Álvarez-Manzaneda, I. de Vicente, Magnetic microparticles as a new tool for lake restoration: a microcosm experiment for evaluating the impact on phosphorus fluxes and sedimentary phosphorus pools, Water Res. 89 (2016) 366–374, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.11.067.

[13] I. de Vicente, A. Merino-Martos, L. Cruz-Pizarro, J. de Vicente, On the use of magnetic nano and microparticles for lake restoration, J. Hazard. Mater. 181 (2010) 375–381, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.05.020.

[14] A. Merino-Martos, J. de Vicente, L. Cruz-Pizarro, I. de Vicente, Setting up High Gradient Magnetic Separation for combating eutrophication of inland waters, J. Hazard. Mater. 186 (2011) 2068–2074, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.118.

[15] A. Baun, N.B. Hartmann, K. Grieger, K.O. Kusk, Ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to aquatic invertebrates: a brief review and recommendations for future toxicity testing, Ecotoxicology 17 (2008) 387–395, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10646-008-0208-y.

[16] E. Navarro, A. Baun, R. Behra, N.B. Hartmann, J. Filser, A.J. Miao, A. Quigg, P.H. Santschi, L. Sigg, Environmental behavior and ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to algae, plants, and fungi, Ecotoxicology 17 (2008) 372–386, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10646-008-0214-0.

[17] A. García, R. Espinosa, L. Delgado, E. Casals, E. González, V. Puntes, C. Barata, X. Font, A. Sanchez, Acute toxicity of cerium oxide, titanium oxide and iron oxide nanoparticles using standardized tests, Desalination 269 (2011) 136–141, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2010.10.052.

[18] A.A. Keller, K. Garner, R.J. Miller, H.S. Lenihan, Toxicity of nano-zero valent iron to freshwater and marine organisms, PLoS One 7 (2012) 1–10, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043983.

[19] S.K. Shinde, N.D. Grampurohit, D.D. Gaikwad, S.L. Jadhav, M.V. Gadhave, P.K. Shelke, Toxicity induced by nanoparticles, Asian Pac. J. Trop. Dis. 2 (2012) 331–334, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2222-1808(12)60072-3.

[20] C.S. Yah, G.S. Simate, S.E. Iyuke, Nanoparticles toxicity and their routes of exposures, Pak. J. Pharm. Sci. 25 (2) (2012) 477–491.

[21] J. Baumann, J. Köser, D. Arndt, J. Filser, The coating makes the difference: acute effects of iron oxide nanoparticles on Daphnia magna, Sci. Total Environ. 484 (2014) 176–184, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.023.

[22] C. Amiard-Triquet, J.C. Amiard, C. Mouneyrac, Aquatic Ecotoxicology. Advancing Tools for Dealing with Emerging Risks, Academic Press, 2015 (ISBN: 9780128009499).

[23] B.S. Khangarot, P.K. Ray, Correlation between heavy metal acute toxicity values in Daphnia magna and fish, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 38 (1987) 722–726, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01608609.

[24] M. Núñez, J. Hurtado, Bioensayos de toxicidad aguda utilizando Daphnia magna Straus (Cladocera, Daphniidae) desarrollada en medio de cultivo modificado, Rev. Peru Biol. 12 (2005) 165–170 http://www.scielo.org.pe/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1727-99332005000100018.

[25] U.S.E.P. Agency, Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms fifth edition october 2002, Environ. Prot. (2002) 266.

[26] H.C. Bold, The morphology of Chlamydomonoas chlamydogama, Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 76 (1949) 101–108.

[27] J. Iannacone, L. Alvariño, Variabilidad de un ensayo ecotoxicológico con Chironomus calligraphus Goeldi (Diptera: Chironomidae) para evaluar cobre Introducción Material y métodos Resultados y discusión, 2004, pp. 125–130.

[28] U.S.E.P.A, Standard Operating Procedures for Culturing, 1993.

[29] I. De Vicente, A. Merino-Martos, F. Guerrero, V. Amores, J. de Vicente, Chemical interferences when using high gradient magnetic separation for phosphate removal: consequences for lake restoration, J. Hazard. Mater. 192 (2011) 995–1001, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.05.090.

[30] OECD, Test No. 202: Daphnia sp Acute Immobilisation Test, OECD Guidel. Test. Og Chem. Sect. 2, (2004) 1–12. 10.1787/9789264069947-en.

[31] OECD, Guidelines for testing of chemicals, Daphnia magna reproduction test, Test (1998) 1–21.

[32] M.M. Gibbs, A simple method for the rapid determination of iron in natural waters, Water Res. 13 (1979) 295–297, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(79)90209-4.

[33] G.T. Method, OECD Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals, (2013) 1–26.

[34] R.R. Sokal, F.J. Rohlf, Biometry, 3rd ed., 1995, pp. 179–440.

[35] G.P. Quinn, M.J. Keough, Experimental Design and Data Analysis for Biologists, 2002, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00278-2.

[36] W.R. Rice, Analyzing tables of statistical tests, Evolution (N.Y.) 43 (1989) 223–225, http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2409177.

[37] G.K. Nürnberg, Trophic state of clear and colored, soft- and hardwater lakes with special consideration of nutrients, anoxia, phytoplankton and fish, Lake Reserv. Manag. 12 (1996) 432–447.

[38] A. Funes, J. De Vicente, L. Cruz-Pizarro, I. De Vicente, The influence of pH on manganese removal by magnetic microparticles in solution, Water Res. 53 (2014) 110–122, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.01.029.

[39] F. Gostomski, The toxicity of aluminium to aquatic species in the US, Environ. Geochem. Heal. 12 (1990) 51–54.

[40] R. Galvez-Cloutier, S.K.M. Saminathan, C. Boillot, G. Triffaut-Bouchet, A. Bourget, G. Soumis-Dugas, An evaluation of several in-lake restoration techniques to improve the water quality problem (eutrophication) of Saint-Augustin Lake, Quebec, Canada, Environ. Manag. 49 (2012) 1037–1053, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00267-012-9840-7.

[41] S.J. Clearwater, C.W. Hickey, K.J. Thompson, The effect of chronic exposure to phosphorus-inactivation agents on freshwater biota, Hydrobiologia 728 (2014) 51–65, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10750-014-1805-9.

[42] W. Traunspurger, C. Drews, Toxicity analysis of freshwater and marine sediments with meio- and macrobenthic organisms: a review, Hydrobiologia 328 (1996) 215–261, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00017632.

[43] L.V. Tannebaum, Reproductive Toxicity. Ecotoxicology. A Derivate of Encyclopedia of Ecology, 2010, pp. 163–169.

[44] M.C. Newman, M.A. Unger, Fundamentals of Ecotoxicology, CRC Press, 2003.

[45] E.L. Enserink, J.L. Maas-Diepeveen, C.J. Van Leeuwen, Combined effects of metals; an ecotoxicological evaluation, Water Res. 25 (1991) 679–687, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(91)90043-P.

[46] L. Wollenberger, B. Halling-Sørensen, K.O. Kusk, Acute and chronic toxicity of veterinary antibiotics to Daphnia magna, Chemosphere 40 (2000) 723–730, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(99)00443-9.

[47] J.M. Conde-Porcuna, E. Ramos-Rodríguez, R. Morales-Baquero, El zooplancton como integrante de la estructura trófica de los ecosistemas, Ecosistemas 13 (2004) 23–29.

[48] J. Haberman, M. Haldna, Indices of zooplankton community as valuable tools in assessing the trophic state and water quality of eutrophic lakes: long term study of Lake Võrtsjärv, J. Limnol. 73 (2014) 61–71, http://dx.doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2014.828.

[49] J.M. Rousch, T.W. Simmons, B.L. Kerans, B.P. Smith, Relative acute effects of low ph and high iron on the hatching and survival of the water mite (Arrenurus manubriator) and the aquatic insect (Chironomus riparius), Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 16 (1997) 2144, http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/1551-5028(1997)016<2144:RAEOLP>2.3.CO;2.

[50] J.O.H.N.G.O. Ahoney, Hazard/risk assessment development of bioassessment-based benchmarks for iron, Environ. Toxicol. 26 (2007) 1291–1298, http://dx.doi.org/10.1897/06-431.1.

[51] K. Rasmussen, C. Lindegaard, Effects of iron compounds on macroinvertebrate communities in a Danish lowland river system, Water Res. 22 (1988) 1101–1108, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(88)90004-8.

[52] F. Espoo, Influence of Metal Complex Formation on Heavy Metal and Fbee Edta by Daphnia Magna Jaana Sorvari * and Mika Sillanpti Laboratory of Inorganic and Analytical Chemistry, vol. 33, Helsinki University of Technology, 1996, pp. 1119–1127, EDTA or DTPA were compared with those of the respe.